7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

HYDE & SWIGART

Riverside, California

(951) 784-7770 (619) 297-1022

Hyde & Swigart

Riverside, CA 92501

Attorneys for Michael McDole

Andrea Darrow Smith, Esq. (SBN: 265237)

Joshua B. Swigart, Esq. (SBN: 225557)

andrea@westcoastlitigation.com

josh@westcoastlitigation.com

4129 Main Street, Suite B8

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Michael McDole

Plaintiff,
v.

Portfolio Recovery Associates, LLC

Defendant.

Case No: <u>'12CV0006 AJB WVG</u>

Complaint For Damages

Jury Trial Demanded

Introduction

1. The United States Congress has found abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices by many debt collectors, and has determined that abusive debt collection practices contribute to the number of personal bankruptcies, to marital instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of individual privacy. Congress wrote the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. (hereinafter "FDCPA"), to eliminate abusive debt collection practices by debt collectors, to insure that those debt collectors who refrain from using abusive debt

28

Complaint - 1 of 7 -

- collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged, and to promote consistent State action to protect consumers against debt collection abuses.
- 2. The California legislature has determined that the banking and credit system and grantors of credit to consumers are dependent upon the collection of just and owing debts and that unfair or deceptive collection practices undermine the public confidence that is essential to the continued functioning of the banking and credit system and sound extensions of credit to consumers. The Legislature has further determined that there is a need to ensure that debt collectors exercise this responsibility with fairness, honesty and due regard for the debtor's rights and that debt collectors must be prohibited from engaging in unfair or deceptive acts or practices.
- 3. Michael McDole, ("Plaintiff"), through Plaintiff's attorneys, brings this action to challenge the actions of Portfolio Recovery Associates, LLC, ("Defendant"), with regard to attempts by Defendant to unlawfully and abusively collect a debt allegedly owed by Plaintiff, and this conduct caused Plaintiff damages.
- 4. Plaintiff makes these allegations on information and belief, with the exception of those allegations that pertain to a plaintiff, or to a plaintiff's counsel, which Plaintiff alleges on personal knowledge.
- 5. While many violations are described below with specificity, this Complaint alleges violations of the statutes cited in their entirety.
- 6. Unless otherwise stated, all the conduct engaged in by Defendant took place in California.
- 7. Any violations by Defendant were knowing, willful, and intentional, and Defendant did not maintain procedures reasonably adapted to avoid any such violation.

27 ///

28 ///

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 8. Jurisdiction of this Court arises pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, 15 U.S.C. § 1692(k), and 28 U.S.C. § 1367 for supplemental state claims.
- 9. This action arises out of Defendant's violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692 et seq. ("FDCPA") and the Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, California Civil Code §§ 1788-1788.32 ("Rosenthal Act").
- 10. Because Defendant does business within the State of California, personal jurisdiction is established.
 - 11. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c).
 - 12. Defendant conducts business in San Diego County and is subject to personal jurisdiction in San Diego County, therefore Defendant resides in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(c).
 - 13. At all times relevant, Defendant conducted business within the State of California.

PARTIES

- 17 14. Plaintiff is a natural person who resides in the State of California.
- 18 15. Defendant is located in the State of Delaware.
- 19 16. Plaintiff is obligated or allegedly obligated to pay a debt, and is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
 - 17. Defendant is a person who uses an instrumentality of interstate commerce or the mails in a business the principal purpose of which is the collection of debts, or who regularly collects or attempts to collect, directly or indirectly, debts owed or due or asserted to be owed or due another and is therefore a debt collector as that phrase is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).
 - 18. Plaintiff is a natural person from whom a debt collector sought to collect a consumer debt which was due and owing or alleged to be due and owing from

28

- Plaintiff, and is a "debtor" as that term is defined by California Civil Code § 1788.2(h).
- 19. Defendant, in the ordinary course of business, regularly, on behalf of himself, herself, or others, engages in debt collection as that term is defined by California Civil Code § 1788.2(b), is therefore a debt collector as that term is defined by California Civil Code § 1788.2(c).
- 20. This case involves money, property or their equivalent, due or owing or alleged to be due or owing from a natural person by reason of a consumer credit transaction. As such, this action arises out of a consumer debt and "consumer credit" as those terms are defined by Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.2(f).

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 21. Sometime before April 1, 2011, Plaintiff is alleged to have incurred certain financial obligations.
- 22. These financial obligations were primarily for personal, family or household purposes and are therefore a "debt" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(5).
- 23. These alleged obligations were money, property, or their equivalent, which is due or owing, or alleged to be due or owing, from a natural person to another person and are therefore a "debt" as that term is defined by California Civil Code §1788.2(d), and a "consumer debt" as that term is defined by California Civil Code §1788.2(f).
- 24. Sometime thereafter, but before April 1, 2011, Plaintiff allegedly fell behind in the payments allegedly owed on the alleged debt. Plaintiff currently takes no position as to the validity of this alleged debt.
- 25. Subsequently, but before April 1, 2011, the alleged debt was assigned, placed, or otherwise transferred, to Defendant for collection.
- 26. On or about April 1, 2011, Defendant telephoned Plaintiff and demanded payment of the alleged debt.

Riverside, California

1

2

3

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

25

26

27

28

- This communication to Plaintiff was a "communication" as that term is 27. defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2), and an "initial communication" consistent with 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a).
- This communication was a "debt collection" as Cal. Civ. Code 1788.2(b) 4 28. 5 defines that phrase, and an "initial communication" consistent with Cal. Civ. Code § 1812.700(b). 6
 - During this April 1, 2011 telephone call, Plaintiff advised Defendant that 29. Plaintiff had retained an attorney concerning the alleged debt, consistent with 15 U.S.C. §1692c(a)(2).
- On or about April 5, 2011, Defendant telephoned Plaintiff's counsel and 10 30. 11 discussed the alleged debt.
 - During the months of April and May of 2011 Plaintiff's counsel received four 31. subsequent telephone calls from Defendant and discussed the alleged debt with Defendant.
 - Plaintiff's counsel had responded to each of Defendant's communications 32. within a reasonable period of time.
- Plaintiff's counsel had not consented to Defendant directly communicating 17 33. with Plaintiff. 18
 - 34. On or about October 17, 2011 Plaintiff received a letter from Defendant dated October 12, 2011. This letter was a "communication" as 15 U.S.C. §1692a(2) defines that term, and a "debt collection" as that phrase is defined by Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.2(b).
- 23 35. At no time between May 2011 and October 2011 did Defendant attempt to make any further contact with Plaintiff's counsel. 24
 - 36. Without the prior consent of the consumer given directly to the Defendant or the express permission of a court of competent jurisdiction, Defendant communicated with the consumer in connection with the collection of a debt when Defendant knew the consumer was represented by an attorney with

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

respect to such debt and had knowledge of, or could have readily ascertained such attorney's name and address. Consequently, Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692c(a)(2).

Because this violated certain portions of the federal Fair Debt Collection 37. Practices Act as these portions are incorporated by reference in the Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, through California Civil Code § 1788.17, this conduct or omission violated Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.17.

CAUSES OF ACTION

COUNT I

FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT (FDCPA) 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692 ET SEQ.

- Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges, and incorporates by reference, all other 38. paragraphs.
- 39. The foregoing acts and omissions constitute numerous and multiple violations of the FDCPA, including but not limited to each and every one of the abovecited provisions of the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq.
- 40. As a result of each and every violation of the FDCPA, Plaintiff is entitled to any actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1); statutory damages in an amount up to \$1,000.00 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A); and, reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(3) from Defendant.

COUNT II

ROSENTHAL FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT (ROSENTHAL ACT) CAL. CIV. CODE §§ 1788-1788.32

41. Plaintiff repeats, re-alleges, and incorporates by reference, all other paragraphs.

28

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

42. The foregoing acts and omissions constitute numerous and multiple vi						
	of the Rosenthal Act, including but not limited to each and every one of the					
	above-cited provisions of the Rosenthal Act, Cal. Civ. Code §§ 1788-1788.32					
43.	As a result of each and every violation of the Rosenthal Act, Plaintiff is					
	entitled to any actual damages pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.30(a);					
	statutory damages for a knowing or willful violation in the amount up to					

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

fees and costs pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.30(c) from Defendant.

\$1,000.00 pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.30(b); and reasonable attorney's

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against Defendant, and Plaintiff be awarded damages from Defendant, as follows:

- An award of statutory damages of \$1,000.00 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A);
- An award of costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(3);
- An award of statutory damages of \$1,000.00 pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.30(b);
- An award of costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees, pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.30(c).
- 44. Pursuant to the seventh amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America, Plaintiff is entitled to, and demands, a trial by jury.

Respectfully submitted,

Hyde & Swigart

By: /s/ Andrea Darrow Smith Date: January 3, 2012 Email:andrea@westcoastlitigation.com Attorneys for Plaintiff

Complaint

Case 3:12-cv-00006-AJB-WVG Document 1 Filed 01/03/12 PageID.8 Page 8 of 8 CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil declerk except as provided by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating

the civil docket sheet. (SEE)	INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVER	SE OF THE FORM.)	1 / 1		1 1	
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS	DEFENDANTS		
Michael McDole			Portfolio Recove	Portfolio Recovery Associates, LLC		
(1)	(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Known) 12 CV0006 AJB WVG		
Hyde & Swigart						
4129 Main Street, Suite			# CYENTER OF D	DANGER LE DANGER		
II. BASIS OF JURIS	DICTION (Place an "X" in 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government No		(For Diversity Cases Only) PT Citizen of This State	TF DEF		
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity		Citizen of Another State	2	-	
		of Parties in Item III)	Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country			
IV. NATURE OF SU	IT (Place an "X" in One Box Only		FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgmer ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise ☐ REAL PROPERTY ☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury CIVIL RIGHTS 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 444 Welfare 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	PERSONAL INJURY 362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIONS 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition	☐ 610 Agriculture ☐ 620 Other Food & Drug ☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 ☐ 630 Liquor Laws ☐ 640 R.R. & Truck ☐ 650 Airline Regs. ☐ 660 Occupational	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 ■ PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark ■ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) ■ FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 810 Selective Service □ 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange □ 875 Customer Challenge □ 12 USC 3410 ■ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 894 Energy Allocation Act □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
▼1 Original □ 2 F	State Court A	ppellate Court	Reopened anothe	Perred from a factorist for district by a contract of the factorist for the factorist for the factorist factorist for the factorist fact		
VI. CAUSE OF ACT	Brief description of cau	se:	ĭling (Do not cite jurisdictiona	ni statutes unless diversity):		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	Unfair Debt Collection Practices CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DE UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 \$75,001		DEMAND \$ 5,001.00+			
VIII. RELATED CAS	(See instructions):	UDGE		DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATTO	RNEY OF RECORD			
01/03/2012 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		s/Andrea D. Smit	h			
	AMOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUI	DGE	